



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 1 031 754 A1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:

30.08.2000 Bulletin 2000/35

(51) Int. Cl.⁷: **F16D 69/02**

(21) Application number: **00301298.6**

(22) Date of filing: **18.02.2000**

(84) Designated Contracting States:

**AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE**

Designated Extension States:

AL LT LV MK RO SI

(30) Priority: **22.02.1999 JP 4250499**

(71) Applicant:

**NISSHINBO INDUSTRIES INC.
Chuo-ku, Tokyo (JP)**

(72) Inventor:

**Kobayashi, Mitsuru,
Tokyo P., Nishhinbo Ind., Inc.
Tokyo (JP)**

(74) Representative:

**Stuart, Ian Alexander et al
MEWBURN ELLIS
York House
23 Kingsway
London WC2B 6HP (GB)**

(54) Non-asbestos friction materials

(57) A non-asbestos friction material is made by molding and curing a composition comprising a fibrous base, an inorganic filler, an organic filler and a binder. The inorganic filler, typically zirconium silicate, has a 90% particle size of 0.1 to 8 μ m, a Mohs hardness of 6 to 8, and accounts for 0.1 to 10% by volume of the overall composition. The friction material is useful as brake linings and has a high braking effectiveness in normal use, a small speed spread, low change over time in braking effectiveness, and can prevent morning effect and jerky low-speed braking.

EP 1 031 754 A1

Description

[0001] The present invention relates to non-asbestos friction materials made by molding and curing compositions comprising a fibrous base, an inorganic filler, an organic filler and a binder. More specifically, it relates to non-asbestos friction materials which can prevent undesirable jerkiness in low-speed braking, and are thus highly suitable as friction materials for use in large vehicles such as buses and trucks.

[0002] A sharp increase in braking performance or effectiveness at low speed, especially in large vehicles such as buses and trucks, results in jerky low-speed braking characterized by sudden stopping of the vehicle accompanied by large, lurching movements. This makes for an uncomfortable ride, and sometimes even causes passenger injuries due to falls inside the vehicle. Hence, there exists a need for a way to prevent such jerkiness in low-speed braking.

[0003] At the same time, regulatory in Japan has led to an increased demand for higher braking effectiveness. The following improvements in friction materials have been proposed as ways to achieve better effectiveness.

(1) Add a large amount of metal powder to the friction material.

(2) Include a large amount of glass fibers in the friction material (e.g., at least 10% by volume, based on the overall composition).

(3) Increase the average particle size of the abrasive used in the friction material and suitably adjust the content of the abrasive. A typical example is the use of zirconium silicate or magnesium oxide having an average particle size of at least 10 μm .

[0004] However, each of these prior-art methods for increasing braking effectiveness has a number of associated problems. For instance, the first approach, according to which a large amount of metal powder is added to the friction material, causes "metal catch," resulting in such undesirable effects as scoring of the brake drum and uneven braking action which causes the vehicle to pull to one side during braking.

[0005] The second approach, which involves adding at least 10% by weight of glass fibers to the friction material, does improve braking effectiveness. However, this advantage is offset by an increase in the "speed spread," defined herein as the absolute value of the difference between effectiveness at 50 km/h and effectiveness at 100 km/h, and also larger and undesirable changes over time in both the braking effectiveness and the speed spread.

[0006] In the third approach mentioned above, an abrasive such as zirconium silicate or magnesium oxide having an average particle size of at least 10 μm is included in the friction material. This solution is indeed effective for enhancing braking effectiveness, yet it too has a number of shortcomings. Undesirable results include a larger speed spread, decreased effectiveness at high speed, and large and undesirable changes over time in both the speed spread and braking effectiveness. In addition, the abrasive scores the brake drum and is a cause of jerkiness during low-speed braking.

[0007] Moreover, owing to the large content of the above added components, these friction materials (1) to (3) cause a higher than necessary degree of wear on the mating surface (e.g., drum or disc surface), shortening the brake life.

[0008] Hence, prior-art friction materials all have significant drawbacks. Not only do they fall short of the requirements for such materials, they are unable to prevent undesirable jerkiness during low-speed braking.

[0009] Preferred embodiments of the present invention may make it possible to provide a non-asbestos friction material which has a high braking effectiveness in ordinary use, a small speed spread, and minimal change over time in both the braking effectiveness and speed spread, does not give rise to a morning effect, and can prevent jerky movement during low-speed braking. "Morning effect," as used herein, refers to an increase in the braking effectiveness from the initial effectiveness during cold-temperature operation.

[0010] We have found that, unlike earlier attempts to enhance braking effectiveness, adding to the friction material composition a specific amount of an inorganic filler having a high hardness and small particle size, and preferably adding also a smaller than customary amount of chopped glass strand has the surprising and unanticipated effect of providing an outstanding non-asbestos friction material which is endowed with a good braking effectiveness under normal use yet does not cause undesirable jerkiness during low-speed braking.

[0011] That is, we have discovered that the incorporation, in a non-asbestos friction material made by molding and curing a composition comprising a fibrous base, an inorganic filler, an organic filler and a binder, of 0.1 to 10% by volume, based on the overall composition, of an inorganic filler having a 90% particle size of 0.1 to 8 μm (as opposed to the particle size of at least 10 μm typical of the prior art) and a Mohs hardness of 6 to 8, and preferably the further incorporation of the lower than conventional amount of 1 to 6% by volume of chopped glass strand, based on the overall composition, elicits synergistic effects between these constituents and other constituents of the friction material. By virtue of these effects, there can be obtained outstanding non-asbestos friction materials. preferred embodiments may attain one or more of the following:

high braking effectiveness in normal use (generally about 50 km/h), a small speed spread, and an undiminished braking effectiveness at high speeds, undergo minimal change over time in effectiveness and speed spread, do not give rise to a morning effect, can prevent jerkiness during low-speed braking, cause minimal drum surface roughness and drum wear depth following continuous use, and have outstanding durability and a longer service life.

[0012] The reasons for the excellent properties of the inventive friction material are not well understood. However, a likely explanation is that molding the friction material composition in a state where the inorganic filler having a high hardness and a specific 90% particle size is uniformly blended with preferably a small amount of chopped glass strand allows each ingredient to exhibit its full capabilities. This makes it possible to achieve a friction material which, unlike prior-art friction materials that contain only an abrasive having a large average particle size or have a high glass fiber content, has a high braking effectiveness in normal use, and also has a small speed spread, does not undergo a decline in braking effectiveness at high speeds, experiences minimal change in the effectiveness and speed spread over time, can prevent undesirable jerkiness during low-speed braking, causes minimal drum surface roughness and drum wear depth following continuous use, and has excellent durability and a longer service life.

[0013] Accordingly, the present invention provides a non-asbestos friction material made by molding and curing a composition comprising a fibrous base, an inorganic filler, an organic filler and a binder, wherein the inorganic filler has a 90% particle size of 0.1 to 8 μm , a Mohs hardness of 6 to 8, and accounts for 0.1 to 10% by volume of the overall composition.

[0014] The invention additionally provides a non-asbestos friction material made by molding and curing a composition comprising a fibrous base, an inorganic filler, an organic filler and a binder, which friction material has a difference ratio between the braking effectiveness at 5 km/h (TP1) and the braking effectiveness at 30 km/h (TP2), as determined by low-temperature low-speed braking tests in accordance with Japan Automobile Technology Association standard JASO C407-87 and expressed as $(\text{TP1}-\text{TP2})/\text{TP1} \times 100$, of at most 40%.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0015] The non-asbestos friction material of the invention can be made by molding and curing a composition composed primarily of a fibrous base, an inorganic filler, an organic filler and a binder. However, to achieve the objects of the invention, it is critical that, of these components, the amount and type of inorganic filler be selected such that a specific proportion of an inorganic filler having a specific Mohs hardness and a specific 90% particle size is formulated within the composition. Moreover, it is recommended that the composition include a lower than conventional amount of chopped glass strand as the fibrous base.

[0016] The inorganic filler must have a 90% particle size of 0.1 to 8 μm and a Mohs hardness of 6 to 8, and must account for 0.1 to 10% by volume of the overall friction material composition. The 90% particle size is preferably 0.3 to 6 μm , more preferably 0.5 to 4 μm , and most preferably 0.5 to 3 μm . The Mohs hardness is preferably 7 to 8. The content of inorganic filler is preferably 3 to 9% by volume, and most preferably 4 to 8% by volume, based on the overall composition. A friction material made of a composition in which the inorganic filler has a 90% particle size, a Mohs hardness or a content below these respective ranges is unable to achieve an increased braking effectiveness. On the other hand, the use of inorganic filler having a 90% particle size, a Mohs hardness or a content greater than the above respective ranges results in a friction material that causes jerkiness during low-speed braking. The objects and advantages of the invention cannot be achieved in either of these cases.

[0017] The term "90% particle size," as used herein, refers to the particle size at 90% of the cumulative particle size distribution (i.e. 90% by weight are of this size or smaller).

[0018] The shape of the inorganic filler particles is not critical although a spherical or nearly spherical shape is preferred. The particles may be surface treated if necessary.

[0019] Illustrative examples of such inorganic fillers having an average particle size and a Mohs hardness within the indicated ranges include magnesia, zirconium oxide, zirconium sulfide, zirconium silicate, α -quartz (Mohs hardness, 7) and chromium oxide. These may be used alone or as combinations of two or more thereof. The preferred inorganic filler is zirconium silicate (Mohs hardness, 7.5).

[0020] In addition to inorganic filler having the above-indicated average particle size and Mohs hardness, the friction material of the invention may contain also other inorganic fillers commonly used in friction materials. Illustrative examples include molybdenum disulfide, calcium carbonate, barium sulfate, calcium hydroxide, calcium fluoride, talc, iron oxide, mica, iron sulfide, metal powders and vermiculite. Such other inorganic fillers may be used alone or as combinations of two or more thereof. The content of these other inorganic fillers in the friction material composition is preferably 0.1 to 70% by volume, more preferably 3 to 50% by volume, and most preferably 5 to 30% by volume.

[0021] Along with the inorganic filler having the specific 90% particle size and Mohs hardness noted above, the friction material of the invention preferably includes also a specific amount of glass chopped strand as the fibrous base.

[0022] It is preferred that the glass chopped strand have a fiber length of 2 to 5 mm, especially 2.5 to 3.5 mm, a

fiber diameter of 5 to 12 μm , especially 7 to 11 μm , and a number of fibers per strand of 50 to 500, especially 100 to 400.

[0023] The content of glass chopped strand is preferably 1 to 6% by volume, more preferably 2 to 6% by volume, and most preferably 2 to 4% by volume, based on the overall composition. The addition of too little glass chopped strand results in low braking effectiveness and poor reinforcing effects, leading to cracking and fissuring. On the other hand, too much chopped strand increases the speed spread.

[0024] In addition to glass chopped strand, the friction material of the invention may contain also other fibrous bases commonly used in friction materials. Illustrative examples include inorganic fibers such as metal fibers (e.g., iron, copper, brass, bronze, aluminum), ceramic fibers, potassium titanate fibers, carbon fibers, rock wool, wollastonite, sepiolite, attapulgite and artificial mineral fibers; and organic fibers such as aramid fibers, cellulose pulp, aramid pulp and acrylic fibers. Any one or combinations of two or more thereof may be used. Such fibrous bases may be used in the form of staple fibers or powder. The content of these other fibrous bases is preferably 5 to 30% by volume, and more preferably 5 to 15% by volume, based on the overall friction material composition.

[0025] Examples of suitable organic fillers that may be used in the invention include cashew dust, reclaimed tire dust, rubber dust, graphite, nitrile rubber dust (vulcanizate) and acrylic rubber dust (vulcanizate). These may be used alone or as combinations of two or more thereof. The organic filler is added in an amount of preferably 0.5 to 60% by volume, and especially 5 to 35% by volume, based on the overall friction material composition.

[0026] The binder used in the composition may be any known binder commonly used in friction materials. Suitable examples include phenolic resin, melamine resin, epoxy resin, various rubber-modified phenolic resins, nitrile rubber, acrylic rubber and silicone rubber. These may be used alone or as combinations of two or more thereof. The binder is added in an amount of preferably 7 to 40% by volume, and especially 10 to 25% by volume.

[0027] The method of making the non-asbestos friction material of the invention involves first uniformly blending the above components in a suitable mixer such as a Henschel mixer, Redige mixer or Eirich mixer so as to give a molding powder, and preforming the powder in a mold. The preform is then molded at a temperature of 130 to 200° C and a pressure of 100 to 400 kg/cm^2 for a period of 2 to 15 minutes.

[0028] The resulting molded article is postcured by heat-treating at 140 to 250° C for 2 to 48 hours, then cut, machined, ground and otherwise processed as needed to the required dimensions, giving the finished article.

[0029] In one embodiment of the invention, the friction material has a braking effectiveness TP1 at 5 km/h and a braking effectiveness TP2 at 30 km/h, as determined by low-temperature low-speed braking performance tests in accordance with Japan Automobile Technology Association standard JASO C407-87 (Dynamometer Test Methods for Truck and Bus Braking Equipment). The difference ratio between the braking effectiveness TP1 at 5 km/h and the braking effectiveness TP2 at 30 km/h, expressed as $(\text{TP1}-\text{TP2})/\text{TP1} \times 100$, must be at most 40%, preferably at most 30%, more preferably at most 20%, and most preferably at most 15%. The lower limit in the difference ratio is not critical although a value of at least 0% is preferred. Too large a difference ratio may result in jerky low-speed braking, in which the braking effectiveness rises suddenly during brake operation at low speeds.

[0030] Typically, the low-temperature low-speed braking performance tests according to JASO C407-87 are conducted at a temperature of about 10° C. The difference ratio $(\text{TP1}-\text{TP2})/\text{TP1} \times 100$ as measured at 10° C is preferably at most 30%, and most preferably from 0 to 20%. The difference ratio $(\text{TP1}-\text{TP2})/\text{TP1} \times 100$ as measured at 100° C, which serves as the basis of comparison, is preferably at most 20%, and especially 0 to 15%.

[0031] In the low-temperature low-speed braking performance tests according to JASO C407-87, the braking torque T and brake fluid pressure P are measured at a simulated empty vehicle inertia and under four sets of conditions: a pre-braking brake temperature of 10° C or 100° C (basis of comparison) paired with an initial braking speed of 5 km/h or 30 km/h. These results are used to calculate the braking effectiveness, defined here as T/P, which is used in turn to calculate the rise in braking effectiveness at low speeds (i.e., the difference in effectiveness, given by T/P at 5 km/h - T/P at 30 km/h) and the difference ratio in effectiveness. The brake temperature was measured with a temperature sensor mounted on the brake assembly.

[0032] Preferably, the friction materials of the invention also have a change over time in speed spread, represented by the formula shown below, from a first (before bedding-in) effectiveness test to a final (fifth) effectiveness test in normal-use braking performance tests conducted at a simulated constant load inertia in accordance with JASO C407-87 (Dynamometer Test Methods for Truck and Bus Braking Equipment) of from 90 to 150%, and especially 90 to 120%. In addition, the change over time in braking effectiveness, represented by the formula shown below, is preferably 90 to 110%, especially 95 to 105%, at 50 km/h, and preferably 90 to 105%, especially 97 to 103%, at 100 km/h.

$$\text{Percent change over time in speed spread} = (\text{speed spread in fifth test})/(\text{speed spread in first test}) \times 100$$

$$\text{Percent change over time in effectiveness} = (\text{T/P at 50 or 100 km/h in fifth test})/(\text{T/P at 50 or 100 km/h in first test}) \times 100$$

[0033] After the first (before bedding-in) to final (fifth) effectiveness tests in normal-use braking performance tests conducted at a constant load inertia in accordance with JASO C407-87, the drum surface roughness, expressed as the

average for ten points (RzD) in the roughness component in accordance with German standard DIN-4769, is preferably not more than 15 μm , more preferably not more than 10 μm , and most preferably from 1 to 7 μm . The drum wear depth, expressed as the average for ten points in the depth of wear from the surface of a new drum, is preferably not more than 20 μm , more preferably not more than 15 μm , and most preferably from 5 to 13 μm .

[0034] In constant load inertia normal-use braking performance tests according to JASO C407-87, the brake lining is mounted on a large truck rear wheel brake assembly. Using a brake dynamometer, the braking torque T and the brake fluid pressure P are measured by carrying out braking performance tests from a first (before bedding-in) to a final (fifth) effectiveness test under a simulated constant load (gross vehicle weight, 20 metric tons) in accordance with JASO C407-87. The resulting data are used to calculate the braking effectiveness T/P.

[0035] Using the friction materials of the invention, an automotive brake shoe assembly may be produced by placing the finished article on a steel or cast iron brake shoe plate that has been cleaned, surface treated and coated with an adhesive. The brake shoe and the finished article are then held together in this state under pressure and bonded by the application of heat. A brake shoe assembly for a bus or truck may be produced by riveting the finished article to a steel or cast iron brake shoe plate that has been cleaned and surface treated.

[0036] The non-asbestos friction materials of the invention are highly suitable for use in automobiles. Particularly when the friction materials are employed for large vehicles such as buses and trucks, the jerkiness during low-speed braking that has hitherto been a problem does not arise. The friction materials of the invention also lend themselves well to use in related applications, such as disc pads, brake shoes and brake linings in disc brakes and drum brakes.

EXAMPLE

[0037] Examples of the invention and comparative examples are given below by way of illustration, and are not intended to limit the invention.

Examples 1-4 and Comparative Examples 1-4

[0038] The friction material compositions shown in Table 1 were formulated, then uniformly blended in a Redige mixer and preformed in a pressure mold under a pressure of 100 kg/cm² for 10 minutes. Each preform was molded for the desired length of time at a temperature and pressure of 145° C and 180 kg/cm², then postcured by 5 hours of heat treatment at 180° C, yielding rear wheel brake linings for large trucks in each of the eight examples.

Table 1

	Ex 1	Ex 2	Ex 3	Ex 4	CE 1	CE 2	CE 3	CE 4
Aramid fibers	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Phenolic resin	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23
Cashew dust	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33
Calcium carbonate	7	10	9	3	7	7	5	--
Barium sulfate	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9
Graphite	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Common zirconium silicate ¹⁾	--	--	--	--	8	4	4	8
Fine zirconium silicate ²⁾	8	4	4	8	--	--	--	--
Glass fibers ³⁾	2	3	4	6	2	6	8	10
Total (% by volume)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

1) General-purpose zirconium silicate: Mohs hardness, 7.5; 90% particle size, 10 μm .

2) Fine zirconium silicate: Mohs hardness, 7.5; 90% particle size, 1.5 μm .

3) Glass chopped strand: fiber length, 3 mm; fiber diameter, 9 μm ; fibers per strand, 100.

[0039] The brake linings obtained in the examples were subjected to two dynamometer tests conducted in accordance with JASO C407-87: (1) a simulated empty vehicle inertia low-temperature low-speed braking performance test, and (2) a simulated constant load inertia normal-use braking performance test.

EP 1 031 754 A1

(1) Low-Temperature, Low-Speed Braking Performance Test

[0040] The braking torque T and brake fluid pressure P were measured in accordance with JASO C407-87 at a simulated empty vehicle inertia and under four sets of conditions: a pre-braking brake temperature of 10° C or 100° C paired with an initial braking speed of 5 km/h or 30 km/h. These results were used to calculate the braking effectiveness T/P. The braking effectiveness data were then used to evaluate the degree of rise in low-speed braking effectiveness at various temperatures; namely, T/P at 5 km/h (TP1) - T/P at 30 km/h (TP2). In addition, the difference ratio in effectiveness, $(TP1-TP2)/TP1 \times 100$, was computed. The results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2

	Ex 1	Ex 2	Ex 3	Ex 4	CE 1	CE 2	CE 3	CE 4
T/P at 5 km/h (TP1) at 10° C	8.1	7.8	7.8	8.2	17.8	15.4	16.2	18.7
T/P at 30 km/h (TP2) at 10° C	7.2	7.1	7.2	7.3	7.6	6.3	6.4	7.7
Difference in effectiveness (TP1-TP2) at 10° C	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.9	10.2	9.1	9.8	11.0
Difference ratio in effectiveness, (TP1-TP2)/TP1 × 100, at 10° C	11.1	9.0	7.7	11	57.3	59.1	60.5	58.8
T/P at 5 km/h (TP1) at 100° C	7.5	7.4	7.3	7.5	14.7	13.4	14.5	15.2
T/P at 30 km/h (TP2) at 100° C	7.2	7.0	7.1	7.3	7.9	5.8	6.0	8.2
Difference in effectiveness (TP1-TP2) at 100° C	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2	6.8	7.6	8.5	7.0
Difference ratio in effectiveness, (TP1-TP2)/TP1 × 100, at 100° C	4.0	5.4	2.7	2.7	46.3	56.7	58.6	46.1

[0041] The results in Table 2 show that Comparative Examples 1 to 4 gave rise to jerkiness during low-speed braking because braking effectiveness at 5 km/h increased substantially over that at 30 km/h. Moreover, the difference in braking effectiveness between 10° C and 100° C was large (a morning effect occurred). Also, the difference ratio in effectiveness in these comparative examples was very large, ranging from 57.3 to 60.5% at 10° C and from 46.1 to 58.6% at 100° C.

[0042] By contrast, Examples 1 to 4 according to the invention prevent jerky low-speed braking because the increase in braking effectiveness at low speed (5 km/h) was small. The difference in braking effectiveness between 10° C and 100° C was small (no morning effect). Moreover, the difference ratio in effectiveness was very small, ranging from 7.7 to 11.1% at 10° C and from 2.7 to 5.4% at 100° C.

(2) Normal-Use Braking Performance Test at Simulated Constant Load Inertia

[0043] The brake linings fabricated in Examples 1 to 4 and Comparative Examples 1 to 4 were each in turn mounted on a large truck rear wheel brake assembly. Braking performance tests from a first (before bedding-in) to a final (fifth) effectiveness test according to JASO C407-87 were carried out under a simulated constant load (GVW = 20 t) with a brake dynamometer. The braking torque T and brake fluid pressure P measured in the tests were used to compute the braking effectiveness T/P. The drum surface roughness and drum wear depth following tests 1 to 5 were measured as described below. The results are shown in Table 3.

Drum Surface Roughness

[0044] Expressed as the average for 10 points (RzD) of the roughness component as determined in accordance with the German standard DIN-4769.

Drum Wear Depth

[0045] Expressed as the average for 10 points of the depth of wear from the surface of a new drum.

[0046] Next, the speed spread, defined above as the absolute value of the difference between the braking effectiveness at 50 km/h and the braking effectiveness at 100 km/h, was computed from the resulting T/P values. The change over time in the speed spread and the change over time in braking effectiveness were computed from the formulas

EP 1 031 754 A1

given below. The results are shown in Table 3.

Percent change over time in speed spread = (speed spread in fifth test)/(speed spread in first test) × 100

Percent change over time in effectiveness =

(T/P at 50 or 100 km/h in fifth test)/(T/P at 50 or 100 km/h in first test) × 100

Table 3

	Ex 1	Ex 2	Ex 3	Ex 4	CE 1	CE 2	CE 3	CE 4
T/P at 50 km/h in first test	8.9	8.7	8.8	9.1	9.0	8.7	8.9	9.3
T/P at 100 km/h in first test	6.8	6.4	6.3	7.0	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8
T/P at 50 km/h in fifth test	8.8	8.5	8.7	9.0	14.2	13.2	13.0	14.6
T/P at 100 km/h in fifth test	6.6	6.2	6.3	6.9	4.8	4.9	4.5	4.6
Speed spread in first test (absolute value of 100 km/h - 50 km/h)	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.1	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.5
Speed spread in fifth test (absolute value of 100 km/h - 50 km/h)	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.1	9.4	8.3	8.5	10.0
Change over time in speed spread (%)	105	100	96	100	214	208	207	222
Change over time at 50 km/h (%)	99	98	99	99	124	151	146	157
Change over time at 100 km/h (%)	97	97	100	99	104	104	94	96
Drum surface roughness (μm)	7.1	6.3	6.5	6.8	28.2	25.4	26.3	31.6
Drum wear depth (μm)	11	9	10	12	53	47	50	85

[0047] As is apparent from the results in Table 3, in each of the comparative examples, the speed spread was large, the braking effectiveness decreased considerably at high speed (100 km/h), the change over time in the speed spread was large, the change over time in braking effectiveness was large (particularly at 50 km/h), and drum wear was substantial.

[0048] By contrast, in Examples 1 to 4 according to the invention, the braking effectiveness under normal use (50 km/h) was high, the speed spread was small, braking effectiveness at high speed underwent only a small decline, the change over time in the speed spread was small, the change over time in braking effectiveness was small, and drum wear was low.

[0049] The non-asbestos friction materials of the invention thus have the following outstanding and unprecedented performance characteristics.

(1) No morning effect. That is, the difference in braking effectiveness between 100° C and 10° C is small. In particular, a rise in braking effectiveness during the morning in cold winter weather can be prevented.

(2) Difference in braking effectiveness at 5 km/h and 30 km/h is small. Hence, the jerkiness during low-speed braking that is caused by a sharp increase in braking effectiveness at low speeds does not arise.

(3) Small speed spread. The absolute value for the difference between the braking effectiveness at 100 km/h and the braking effectiveness at 50 km/h is small, resulting in less of a decline in braking effectiveness at high speed.

(4) Changes over time in braking effectiveness and speed spread are both small.

(5) Drum surface roughness and drum wear depth following continuous use are both small, resulting in excellent durability and a long service life.

[0050] Because the friction materials of the invention do not cause jerkiness during low-speed braking, they are highly suitable for use on large vehicles such as buses and trucks.

[0051] Japanese Patent Application No. 11-042504 is incorporated herein by reference.

[0052] Although some preferred embodiments have been described, many modifications and variations may be made thereto in light of the above teachings. It is therefore to be understood that the invention may be practiced otherwise than as specifically described without departing from the scope of the appended claims.

Claims

1. A non-asbestos friction material made by molding and curing a composition comprising a fibrous base, an inorganic filler, an organic filler and a binder, wherein the inorganic filler has a 90% particle size of 0.1 to 8 μm , a Mohs hardness of 6 to 8, and accounts for 0.1 to 10% by volume of the overall composition.
2. The non-asbestos friction material of claim 1, wherein the inorganic filler is selected from the group consisting of magnesia, zirconium oxide, zirconium sulfide, zirconium silicate, α -quartz, chromium oxide and mixtures thereof.
3. The non-asbestos friction material of claim 1, wherein the fibrous base includes chopped glass strand in an amount which accounts for 1 to 6% by volume of the overall composition.
4. A non-asbestos friction material made by molding and curing a composition comprising a fibrous base, an inorganic filler, an organic filler and a binder, which friction material has a braking effectiveness TP1 at 5 km/h and a braking effectiveness TP2 at 30 km/h, as determined by low-temperature low-speed braking performance tests in accordance with Japan Automobile Technology Association standard JASO C407-87, the difference ratio between the braking effectiveness TP1 and TP2, expressed as $(\text{TP1} - \text{TP2})/\text{TP1} \times 100$, being at most 40%.
5. The non-asbestos friction material of claim 4, wherein the difference ratio $(\text{TP1} - \text{TP2})/\text{TP1} \times 100$ is at most 30% as measured at 10°C.
6. The non-asbestos friction material of claim 4, wherein the difference ratio $(\text{TP1} - \text{TP2})/\text{TP1} \times 100$ is at most 20% as measured at 100°C.
7. The non-asbestos friction material of claim 4, 5 or 6 which is also according to claim 1, 2 or 3.
8. A brake shoe assembly comprising a metal brake shoe and, secured thereto, friction material according to any preceding claim.
9. A disk brake assembly, a brake shoe assembly or a drum brake assembly including a friction material according to any of claims 1-7.
10. A automotive vehicle having a brake system including an assembly according to claim 8 or 9.



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 00 30 1298

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
X	DE 37 27 809 A (TOYOTA MOTOR CO LTD) 3 March 1988 (1988-03-03) * claims 1-4 * * page 2, line 61 - line 41 * ---	1-3,8-10	F16D69/02
Y	FR 2 372 129 A (SUMITOMO ELECTRIC INDUSTRIES) 23 June 1978 (1978-06-23) * page 1, line 11 - line 16; examples 1-3 * ---	1-3,8-10	
Y	GB 2 268 502 A (FERODO LTD) 12 January 1994 (1994-01-12) * page 1, line 1 - page 3, line 16; examples I-II * ---	1-3,8-10	
Y	DE 37 30 430 A (TOYOTA MOTOR CO LTD) 24 March 1988 (1988-03-24) * claims 1,3,4,10,12 * * page 4, line 7 - line 45 * -----	1-3,8-10	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)
			F16D
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 31 May 2000	Examiner Boulon, A
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document			

EPO FORM 1503 03.82 (P04C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 00 30 1298

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars, which are merely given for the purpose of information.

31-05-2000

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
DE 3727809 A	03-03-1988	JP 63062925 A	19-03-1988
		GB 2196977 A	11-05-1988
		US 4777193 A	11-10-1988
FR 2372129 A	23-06-1978	JP 1031495 C	29-01-1981
		JP 53039350 B	20-10-1978
		JP 53065277 A	10-06-1978
		US 4145223 A	20-03-1979
GB 2268502 A	12-01-1994	NONE	
DE 3730430 A	24-03-1988	JP 63069833 A	29-03-1988
		GB 2195944 A	20-04-1988